

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

UCB, INC., UCB PHARMA GMBH,)
RESEARCH CORPORATION)
TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and HARRIS FRC)
CORPORATION,)

Plaintiffs,)

v.)

C.A. No. _____

APOTEX CORP. and APOTEX INC.,)

Defendants.)

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiffs UCB, Inc., UCB Pharma GmbH, Research Corporation Technologies, Inc., and Harris FRC Corporation (collectively, “Plaintiffs”), by their undersigned attorneys, for their Complaint against defendants Apotex Corp. and Apotex Inc. (collectively, “Apotex”) hereby allege as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff UCB, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having its principal place of business at 1950 Lake Park Drive, Smyrna, Georgia 30080.

2. Plaintiff UCB Pharma GmbH (“UCB Pharma”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Federal Republic of Germany, having an office and place of business at Alfred Nobel Strasse 10, 40789 Monheim, Germany. UCB Pharma was formerly known as Schwarz Pharma AG (“Schwarz”).

3. Plaintiff Research Corporation Technologies, Inc. (“RCT”) is a nonprofit corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having its principal place of business at 5210 East Williams Circle, Suite 240, Tucson, Arizona 85711-4410.

4. Plaintiff Harris FRC Corporation (“Harris”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey, having its principal place of business at 2137 State Highway 35, Holmdel, New Jersey 07733.

5. On information and belief, defendant Apotex Corp. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having its principal place of business at 2400 North Commerce Parkway, Suite 400, Weston, Florida 33326.

6. On information and belief, Apotex Corp. is a wholly owned subsidiary of Apotex Inc. On information and belief, Apotex Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Canada, having its principal place of business at 150 Signet Drive, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M9L 1T9.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This is an action for patent infringement of United States Reissued Patent No. RE 38,551 (“the ’551 patent”), arising under the United States patent laws, Title 35, United States Code. This action relates to Apotex Corp.’s filing of Abbreviated New Drug Application (“ANDA”) under Section 505(j) of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (“the Act”), 21 U.S.C. § 355(j), seeking United States Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) approval to market generic pharmaceutical products. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

8. This Court has jurisdiction over Apotex Corp. On information and belief, Apotex Corp., directly or through its affiliates and agents, develops, formulates, manufactures,

markets and sells pharmaceutical drug products, including generic drug products, throughout the United States and in this judicial district. On information and belief, Apotex Corp. holds a Pharmacy Wholesale License from the State of Delaware under License No. A4-0001921. On information and belief, Apotex Corp. holds a Distributor/Manufacturer License for Controlled Substances Registration from the State of Delaware under License No. DM-0008873. On information and belief, Apotex Corp. has purposely availed itself of the rights and benefits of the laws of the State of Delaware, having previously submitted to personal jurisdiction in and filed lawsuits in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, and having engaged in systematic and continuous contacts with the State of Delaware.

9. This Court has jurisdiction over Apotex Inc. On information and belief, Apotex Inc. develops, formulates, manufactures, markets and sells pharmaceutical drug products, including generic drug products, throughout the United States and in this judicial district, through various directly or indirectly owned operating subsidiaries, including its wholly-owned subsidiary Apotex Corp. On information and belief, Apotex Inc. and Apotex Corp. work in concert for purposes of developing, formulating, manufacturing, marketing and selling its generic drug products throughout the United States, including Delaware, and Delaware is a likely destination of Apotex Inc.'s generic products. On information and belief, Apotex Inc. has purposely availed itself of the rights and benefits of the laws of the State of Delaware, having previously submitted to personal jurisdiction in and filed lawsuits in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, and having engaged in systematic and continuous contacts with the State of Delaware.

10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Apotex by virtue of, *inter alia*, the above-mentioned facts.

11. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

CLAIM FOR RELIEF – PATENT INFRINGEMENT


12. UCB, Inc. holds approved NDA No. 022255 for Vimpat[®] oral solution (10 mg/mL dosage strength), which oral solution contains the active ingredient lacosamide. The FDA approved NDA No. 022255 on April 20, 2010. UCB, Inc. lists the '551 patent in Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations (“the Orange Book”) for NDA No. 022255.

13. Vimpat[®] tablets and oral solution are indicated as adjunctive therapy in the treatment of partial-onset seizures in people with epilepsy aged 17 years and older. Vimpat[®] intravenous solution is indicated as adjunctive therapy in the treatment of partial-onset seizures in people with epilepsy aged 17 years and older when oral administration is temporarily not feasible.

14. The United States Patent and Trademark Office (“PTO”) legally issued the '551 patent, entitled “Anticonvulsant Enantiomeric Amino Acid Derivatives” on July 6, 2004. A copy of the '551 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

15. The '551 patent is a reissue of United States Patent No. 5,773,475, which legally issued on June 30, 1998.

16. The '551 patent claims, *inter alia*, lacosamide, which is known chemically as (R)-2-acetamido-N-benzyl-3-methoxypropionamide (under IUPAC nomenclature), and as (R)-N-benzyl-2-acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide. The chemical structure of lacosamide is:



17. The '551 patent also claims a therapeutic composition comprising lacosamide, and a method of treating central nervous system disorders in a human.

18. The PTO issued a Certificate Extending Patent Term Under 35 U.S.C. § 156. With the patent term extension, the '551 patent expires on March 17, 2022. A copy of the Certificate Extending Patent Term for the '551 patent is attached as Exhibit B.

19. The '551 patent is assigned to RCT, and RCT is the owner of the '551 patent as recorded by the PTO at Reel 008538, Frame 0093.

20. RCT granted an exclusive patent license, subsequently amended, to Harris under, *inter alia*, the '551 patent to make and have made, use, sell, offer to sell and import lacosamide in a territory co-extensive with Harris' patent rights. Under the amended license agreement, RCT granted Harris the right to sublicense its rights under the licensed patents, including the '551 patent.

21. Harris exclusively sublicensed to Schwarz, under know how and licensed patents, including the '551 patent, the right to make, have made, use, sell and import lacosamide for the purpose of Schwarz's making, having made, using importing or selling products containing lacosamide, worldwide, excluding Japan, for the treatment of diseases in humans.

22. UCB S.A. acquired Schwarz and all its rights in lacosamide. The license agreement entered into by and between Harris and Schwarz was restated, amended, and effective

for all countries of the world, by and among Harris, UCB Pharma GmbH, formerly acting under its name Schwarz, and UCB S.A.

23. UCB, Inc. markets and sells Vimpat[®] tablets and oral and intravenous solution in the United States.

24. On information and belief, Apotex Corp. submitted to the FDA ANDA No. 206355 under Section 505(j) of the Act, 21 U.S.C. § 355(j), seeking FDA approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or import of generic lacosamide oral solution (10 mg/mL dosage strength), which oral solution contains the active ingredient lacosamide.

25. The subject of ANDA No. 206355 is referred to as “Apotex Corp.’s ANDA Product.”

26. Apotex Corp. submitted its ANDA to the FDA for the purpose of obtaining approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or import of Apotex Corp.’s ANDA Product before the expiration of the ’551 patent.

27. By filing its ANDA under 21 U.S.C. § 355(j) for the purpose of obtaining FDA approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or import of Apotex Corp.’s ANDA Product before the expiration of the ’551 patent, Apotex has committed an act of infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2).

28. On information and belief, when Apotex Corp. filed its ANDA, it was aware of the ’551 patent and that the filing of its ANDA with the request for its approval prior to the expiration of the ’551 patent was an act of infringement of that patent.

29. On information and belief, Apotex Corp. made and included in its ANDA a certification under 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV) that, in its opinion and to the best of its knowledge, the '551 patent is invalid and/or will not be infringed.

30. On May 27, 2014, RCT received a letter from Apotex Corp. dated May 23, 2014, purporting to be a Notice of Certification for ANDA No. 206355 under Section 505(j)(2)(B) of the Act, 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(B), and 21 C.F.R. § 314.95(c).

31. Apotex Corp.'s letter alleges that the active ingredient in Apotex Corp.'s ANDA Product for which it seeks approval is lacosamide.

32. The commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or import of Apotex Corp.'s ANDA Product will infringe one or more claims of the '551 patent.

33. On information and belief, Apotex Corp.'s actions relating to Apotex Corp.'s ANDA No. 206355 complained of herein were done with the cooperation, participation and assistance of, and at least in part for the benefit of, Apotex Inc.

34. Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief provided by 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(4), including an order of this Court that the effective date of any approval of ANDA No. 206355 be a date that is not earlier than March 17, 2022, the expiration date of the '551 patent, including any extensions.

35. Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of damages for any commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or import of Apotex Corp.'s ANDA Product and any act committed by Apotex Corp. with respect to the subject matter claimed in the '551 patent, which act is not within the limited exclusions of 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(1).


PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs UCB, Inc., UCB Pharma, RCT and Harris, pray for a judgment in their favor and against defendants Apotex Corp. and Apotex Inc., and respectfully request the following relief:

- A. A judgment that, under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A), Apotex has infringed one or more claims of the '551 patent by Apotex Corp.'s filing ANDA No. 206355 seeking approval for the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or import of Apotex Corp.'s ANDA Product before the expiration of the '551 patent;
- B. A judgment that the manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or import of Apotex Corp.'s ANDA Product will infringe the '551 patent;
- C. A judgment declaring that the '551 patent remains valid and enforceable;
- D. A permanent injunction restraining and enjoining Apotex and its officers, agents, attorneys and employees, and those acting in privity or concert with it, from engaging in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale and/or import, of Apotex Corp.'s ANDA Product, as claimed in the '551 patent, until the expiration of the '551 patent or any later date of exclusivity to which Plaintiffs are or become entitled;
- E. An order that the effective date of any approval of ANDA No. 206355 be a date that is not earlier than the expiration of the right of exclusivity under the '551 patent, or any later date of exclusivity to which Plaintiffs are or become entitled;
- F. To the extent that Apotex has committed any acts with respect to the subject matter claimed in the '551 patent, other than those acts expressly exempted by 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(1), an award of damages for such acts;

- G. A determination that this case is “exceptional” under 35 U.S.C. § 285, and an award of attorneys’ fees;
- H. Costs and expenses in this action; and
- I. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP



Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
Maryellen Noreika (#3208)
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 658-9200

OF COUNSEL:

George F. Pappas
Jeffrey B. Elikan
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 662-6000

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs UCB, Inc., UCB
Pharma GmbH, Research Corporation
Technologies, Inc. and Harris FRC
Corporation*

June 27, 2014