

1 Michael J. McCue (Nevada Bar #6055)
Jonathan W. Fountain (Nevada Bar #10351)
2 Meng Zhong (Nevada Bar #12145)
LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER LLP
3 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996
4 (702) 949-8200 (phone)
(702) 949-8398 (facsimile)
5 mmccue@lrrlaw.com
jfountain@lrrlaw.com
6 mzhong@lrrlaw.com

7 Mark H. Izraelewicz (*pro hac vice* application to be filed)
Thomas I. Ross (*pro hac vice* application to be filed)
8 Kevin M. Flowers (*pro hac vice* application to be filed)
John R. Labbe (*pro hac vice* application to be filed)
9 Amanda Antons (*pro hac vice* application to be filed)
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP
10 233 South Wacker Drive, 6300 Willis Tower
Chicago, IL 60606-6357
11 (312) 474-6300 (phone)
(312) 474-0448 (facsimile)
12 mizraelewicz@marshallip.com
tross@msrshallip.com
13 kflowers@marshallip.com
jlabbe@marshallip.com
14 aantons@marshallip.com

15 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
SPECTRUM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
16 and UNIVERSITY OF STRATHCLYDE

17
18 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

19 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

20 **SPECTRUM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. and**
21 **UNIVERSITY OF STRATHCLYDE,**

22 **Plaintiff,**

23 **v.**

24 **ACTAVIS LLC,**

25 **Defendants.**

Case No.: 2:15-CV-01360

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
INFRINGEMENT**

26
27
28

1 Plaintiffs Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“Spectrum”) and University of Strathclyde
2 (“Strathclyde”) (collectively “Plaintiffs”), by their undersigned attorneys, for their Complaint
3 against Defendant Actavis LLC (“Actavis”), allege the following:

4 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

5 1. This is an action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States,
6 35 U.S.C. § 100 et seq., including 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2), and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28
7 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, arising from Actavis’s filing of an Abbreviated New Drug Application
8 (“ANDA”) under Section 505(j) of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, 21 U.S.C. § 355(j),
9 seeking U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) approval to market levoleucovorin products,
10 which are generic forms of Spectrum’s pharmaceutical product Fusilev[®], prior to the expiration of
11 United States Patent No. 6,500,829 (“the ’829 patent”), which covers Fusilev[®].

12 **THE PARTIES**

13 2. Spectrum is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of
14 Delaware, having its principal place of business at 11500 South Eastern Avenue, Suite 240,
15 Henderson, Nevada 89052. Spectrum is engaged in the business of research, development,
16 manufacture, and sale of pharmaceutical products.

17 3. Strathclyde, incorporated by Royal Charter of Queen Elisabeth II, is a charitable
18 body registered in Scotland with registration number SC015263, having its principal place of
19 business at 16 Richmond Street, Glasgow G1 1XQ, Scotland, United Kingdom.

20 4. On information and belief, Actavis LLC is a limited liability company (“LLC”)
21 organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having a place of business at 400
22 Interpace Parkway, Parsippany, New Jersey 07054.

23 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

24 5. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§
25 1331, 1338(a), 2201 and 2202.

26 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Actavis because, *inter alia*, it has
27 purposefully conducted and continues to conduct business in this District, including by having
28 availed itself of the rights, protections, and benefits of Nevada law, such that it should reasonably

1 anticipate being haled into court in this District.

2 7. On information and belief, Actavis has systematic and continuous contacts with the
3 State of Nevada, by, among other things, selling pharmaceutical products to residents of Nevada,
4 and to others with the intent that those products are marketed and distributed in Nevada, and
5 receiving significant revenue for the sale of those products in Nevada.

6 8. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b).

7 9. Pursuant to an agreement between Plaintiffs and Amneal, for purposes of this
8 action only, Actavis: (1) consents to be subject to personal jurisdiction in this Court; and (2)
9 waived any challenge regarding whether venue is proper in Court.

10 **THE PATENT-IN-SUIT**

11 10. On December 31, 2002, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued U.S.
12 Patent No. 6,500,829, entitled “Substantially Pure Diastereoisomers of Tetrahydrofolate
13 Derivatives.” At the time of its issue, the ’829 patent was assigned to Strathclyde. Strathclyde
14 currently holds title to the ’829 patent. Strathclyde has exclusively licensed the ’829 patent to
15 Spectrum. A copy of the ’829 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

16 11. On January 20, 2012, Plaintiffs filed suit against Sandoz Inc. (“Sandoz”) in this
17 Court, Civil Action No. 12-cv-00111-GMN-NJK, alleging infringement of the ’829 patent (“the
18 Sandoz case”). In response, Sandoz denied infringement and asserted that the claims of the ’829
19 patent were invalid. On January 12, 2015, Sandoz stipulated that its proposed levoleucovorin
20 product infringe claims 1 and 2 of the ’829 patent. A five-day bench trial related to the issue of
21 invalidity was held from January 12-20.

22 12. On February 20, 2015, this Court issued an order finding the asserted claims of the
23 ’829 patent invalid for obviousness, and entered judgment in favor of Sandoz. On February 27,
24 2015 Plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal appealing this Court judgment of invalidity in the Sandoz
25 case to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (“Federal Circuit”). Pursuant to
26 Plaintiffs’ motion to expedite the Federal Circuit appeal, briefing is complete and oral argument is
27 scheduled for August 6, 2015.

28

FUSILEV[®]

1
2 13. Spectrum holds New Drug Application No. 20-140 (initially approved on March 7,
3 2008) (“the Fusilev[®] NDA”) approving Spectrum to market a levoleucovorin product as a
4 lyophilized powder in a 50 mg dosage strength, which is marketed by Spectrum under the trade
5 name Fusilev[®].

6 14. On November 7, 2011, the FDA granted Fusilev[®] seven years of orphan-drug
7 exclusive approval pursuant to Section 527 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21
8 U.S.C. § 360cc) for use in combination chemotherapy with 5-fluorouracil in the palliative
9 treatment of advanced metastatic adenocarcinoma of the colon and rectum.

10 15. Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 355(b)(1) and attendant FDA regulations, the ’829 patent is
11 listed in the FDA publication “Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence
12 Evaluations” (“the Orange Book”) with respect to Fusilev[®].

ACTAVIS’S ANDA

13
14 16. On information and belief, Actavis submitted an Abbreviated New Drug
15 Application, ANDA No. 206516, to the FDA, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. §§ 355(j), seeking approval to
16 market levoleucovorin calcium for injection, 50 mg/vial (“Actavis’s ANDA”). The
17 levoleucovorin vial described in Actavis’s ANDA is herein referred to as “Actavis’s Product.”

18 17. Actavis’s ANDA refers to and relies upon the Fusilev[®] NDA and/or the Fusilev[®]
19 sNDA and contains data that, according to Actavis, demonstrates the bioequivalence of Actavis’s
20 Products and Fusilev[®].

21 18. By filing Actavis’s ANDA, Actavis has necessarily represented to the FDA that
22 Actavis’s Product has the same active ingredient as Fusilev[®], has the same routes of
23 administration, dosage form, and strength as Fusilev[®], is bioequivalent to Fusilev[®], and has the
24 same or substantially the same proposed labeling as Fusilev[®].

25 19. Spectrum received a letter from Actavis on or around June 12, 2015, and an
26 attached memorandum (collectively “Actavis’s Notification”), stating that Actavis had included a
27 certification in Actavis’s ANDA, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. §355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV), that the ’829
28 patent is invalid, unenforceable, and/or will not be infringed by the commercial manufacture, use,

1 or sale of Actavis's Product.

2 20. This action was brought within 45 days from the date that Spectrum received
3 Actavis's Notification.

4
5 **COUNT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,500,829**

6 21. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1-20
7 of this Complaint.

8 22. The '829 patent contains claims directed to, for example (claim 1), "A
9 pharmaceutical composition for therapeutic use which consists essentially of a therapeutically
10 effective amount sufficient for the treatment of human beings for methotrexate rescue or folate
11 deficiency, of a pharmaceutically acceptable compound which is a (6S) diastereoisomer selected
12 from the group consisting of (6S) leucovorin (5-formyl-(6S)-tetrahydrofolic acid) and
13 pharmaceutically acceptable salts and esters of (6S) leucovorin; wherein the compound consists of
14 a mixture of (6S) and (6R) diastereoisomers and consists of at least 92% by weight of the (6S)
15 diastereoisomer, the balance of said compound consisting of the (6R) diastereoisomer; in
16 combination with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier."

17 23. Actavis has committed an act of infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A) by
18 submitting Actavis's ANDA, by which Actavis seeks approval from the FDA to engage in the
19 commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell, sale, or importation of Actavis's Product prior to the
20 expiration of the '829 patent.

21 24. Actavis's commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sale of Actavis's Product
22 within the United States, or importation of Actavis's Product into the United States, during the
23 term of the '829 patent would further infringe one or more claims of the '829 patent under 35
24 U.S.C. §§ 271(a), (b), and/or (c).

25 25. Actavis's filing of Actavis's ANDA and the intention of Actavis to engage in the
26 commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell, sale, or importation of Actavis's Product upon
27 receiving FDA approval creates an actual case or controversy with respect to infringement of the
28 '829 patent.

1 patent (including any extensions thereof), and that any such damages or monetary relief be trebled
2 and awarded to Plaintiffs with prejudgment interest;

3 G. A declaration that this is an exceptional case and a judgment awarding Plaintiffs
4 their reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in this action pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 285 and 271(e)(4);

5 H. Reasonable filing fees, costs and expenses incurred by Plaintiffs in this action; and

6 I. Such further and other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

7 Dated: this 17th day of July, 2015.

8 Respectfully submitted,

9
10 LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER LLP

11 By: /s/ Michael J. McCue

12 Michael J. McCue (Nevada Bar #6055)
13 Jonathan W. Fountain (Nevada Bar #10351)
14 Meng Zhong (Nevada Bar #12145)
15 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
16 Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996
17 (702) 949-8200 (phone)
18 (702) 949-8398 (facsimile)
19 mmccue@lrrlaw.com
20 jfountain@lrrlaw.com
21 mzhong@lrrlaw.com

22 Mark H. Izraelewicz (*pro hac vice* application to be filed)
23 Thomas I. Ross (*pro hac vice* application to be filed)
24 Kevin M. Flowers (*pro hac vice* application to be filed)
25 John R. Labbe (*pro hac vice* application to be filed)
26 Amanda Antons (*pro hac vice* application to be filed)
27 MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP
28 233 South Wacker Drive
6300 Willis Tower
Chicago, IL 60606-6357
(312) 474-6300 (phone)
(312) 474-0448 (facsimile)
mizraelewicz@marshallip.com
tross@marshallip.com
kflowers@marshallip.com
jlabbe@marshallip.com
aantons@marshallip.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
SPECTRUM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
and UNIVERSITY OF STRATHCLYDE