

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

MILLENNIUM PHARMACEUTICALS,)
INC., and SCHERING CORPORATION,)

Plaintiffs,)

v.)

C.A. No. _____)

TEVA PARENTERAL MEDICINES, INC.,)
TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.,)
and TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL)
INDUSTRIES LTD.,)

Defendants.)

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs Millennium Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Schering Corporation, by their attorneys, hereby allege as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code, that arises out of the filing by defendant Teva Parenteral Medicines, Inc. of Abbreviated New Drug Application (“ANDA”) No. 90-854 with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) seeking approval to manufacture and sell a generic version of INTEGRILIN[®] prior to the expiration of U.S. Patent No. 5,807,825 on September 15, 2015.

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff Millennium Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“Millennium”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 40 Landsdowne Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

3. Plaintiff Schering Corporation (“Schering”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey, with its principal place of business at 2000 Galloping Hill Road, Kenilworth, New Jersey.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Teva Parenteral Medicines, Inc. (“TPM”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 19 Hughes, Irvine, California. Upon information and belief, TPM is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.

5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. (“Teva USA”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 1090 Horsham Road, North Wales, Pennsylvania. Upon information and belief, Teva USA is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.

6. Upon information and belief, Defendant Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. (“Teva Ltd.”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Israel, with its principal place of business at 5 Basel Street, Petah Tikva, Israel. TPM and Teva USA act as agents of Teva Ltd.

7. Upon information and belief, TPM’s preparation and submission of ANDA No. 90-854 was done collaboratively with, and at least in part for the benefit of, Teva USA and Teva Ltd.

8. TPM, Teva USA, and Teva Ltd. are collectively referred to hereafter as “Teva.”

9. Teva manufactures and sells various generic drug products and regularly conducts business throughout the United States, including in the State of Delaware.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States of America and this court has jurisdiction over the subject-matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), 2201, and 2202.

11. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

12. TPM and Teva USA are subject to personal jurisdiction in Delaware because, among other things, they are residents and citizens of the State of Delaware and have submitted themselves to the jurisdiction of courts in Delaware by virtue of their incorporation under Delaware law. Teva Ltd. is also subject to personal jurisdiction in Delaware because, among other things, Teva Ltd. directly and/or through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, manufactures, markets, and sells generic drugs throughout the United States and within the State of Delaware and therefore purposefully avails itself of the privilege of conducting activities within the State of Delaware.

BACKGROUND

13. INTEGRILIN[®] is an antithrombotic agent that reversibly inhibits platelet aggregation by preventing binding of fibrinogen to the glycoprotein IIb-IIIa receptor. INTEGRILIN[®] is indicated for the treatment of patients with acute coronary syndrome, including patients who are to be managed medically and those undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention, including intracoronary stenting.

14. Schering sells INTEGRILIN[®] in the United States pursuant to a New Drug Application that has been approved by the FDA.

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,807,825

15. Plaintiffs incorporate each of the proceeding paragraphs 1 – 14 as if fully set forth herein.

16. United States Patent No. 5,807,825 (“the ‘825 patent”), entitled “Platelet Aggregation Inhibitors” (Exhibit A hereto), was duly and legally issued on June 7, 1995. The ‘825 patent is owned by Millennium and exclusively licensed to Schering. It will expire on September 15, 2015.

17. INTEGRILIN[®] is covered by one or more claims of the ‘825 patent, and the ‘825 patent has been listed in connection with INTEGRILIN[®] in the FDA’s publication, *Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations*, which is referred to as the “Orange Book.”

18. By letter dated January 8, 2009 (the “Notice Letter”), Teva notified Millennium and Schering that it had submitted to the FDA ANDA No. 90-854, for Teva’s Eptifibatide, Injection, 2 mg/mL, 10 mL Vial and 100 mL Vial, a drug product that is a generic version of INTEGRILIN[®] (“Teva’s ANDA Product”). The purpose of the submission of the ANDA was to obtain approval under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (“FDCA”) to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, and/or sale of Teva’s ANDA Product prior to the expiration of the ‘825 patent.

19. This action is being commenced before the expiration of forty-five days from the date of the Notice Letter.

20. In the Notice Letter, Teva also notified Millennium and Schering that, as a part of its ANDA, Teva had filed certifications of the type described in Section 505(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV) of the FDCA, 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV), with respect to the ‘825

patent. Upon information and belief, Teva submitted ANDA No. 90-854 to the FDA containing a certification pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV) asserting that the '825 patent is invalid, unenforceable, and/or will not be infringed by the manufacture, use, offer for sale, or sale of Teva's ANDA Product.

21. The use of Teva's ANDA Product is covered by one or more claims of the '825 patent.

22. Teva had knowledge of the '825 patent when it submitted its ANDA.

23. Teva's filing of the ANDA No. 90-854 for the purpose of the obtaining approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, and/or sale of Teva's ANDA Product before the expiration of the '825 patent is an act of infringement of the '825 patent.

24. The commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, marketing, distributing, and/or importation of Teva's ANDA Product would infringe one or more claims of the '825 patent.

25. Upon information and belief, use of Teva's ANDA Product in accordance with and as directed by Teva's proposed labeling for that product would infringe one or more claims of the '825 patent.

26. Upon information and belief, Teva intends to engage in the manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, marketing, distributing, and/or importation of Teva's ANDA Product with its proposed labeling immediately and imminently upon approval of ANDA No. 90-854.

27. Upon information and belief, Teva plans and intends to, and will, actively induce infringement of the '825 patent when its ANDA is approved, and plans and intends to, and will, do so immediately and imminently upon approval.

28. Upon information and belief, Teva knows that Teva's ANDA Product and its proposed labeling are especially made or adapted for use in infringing the '825 patent, and that Teva's ANDA Product and its proposed labeling are not suitable for substantial noninfringing use. Upon information and belief, Teva plans and intends to, and will, contribute to the infringement of the '825 patent immediately and imminently upon approval of ANDA No. 90-854.

29. The foregoing actions by Teva constitute and/or will constitute infringement of the '825 patent, active inducement of infringement of the '825 patent, and/or contribution to the infringement by others of the '825 patent.

30. Upon information and belief, Teva acted without a reasonable basis for believing that it would not be liable for infringing the '825 patent, actively inducing infringement of the '825 patent, and/or contributing to the infringement by others of the '825 patent.

31. Unless Teva is enjoined from infringing the '825 patent, actively inducing infringement of the '825 patent, and/or contributing to the infringement by others of the '825 patent, Millennium and Schering will suffer irreparable injury. Millennium and Schering have no adequate remedy at law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that this Court grant the following relief:

(a) A judgment that the '825 patent is infringed by Teva's ANDA Product, that Teva's submission of ANDA No. 90-854 is an act of infringement of the '825 patent, and that Teva's making, using, offering to sell, selling, marketing, distributing, or importing Teva's ANDA Product, or any product or compound that infringes the '825 patent, prior to the

expiration of the '825 patent, will infringe, actively induce infringement, and contribute to the infringement of the '825 patent.

(b) A declaration that the '825 patent is valid and enforceable;

(c) An Order pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(4)(A) providing that the effective date of any FDA approval of Teva's ANDA No. 90-854, or any product or compound that infringes the '825 patent, shall be a date which is not earlier than the expiration of the '825 patent;

(d) An Order permanently enjoining Teva, and its affiliates and subsidiaries, and each of their officers, agents, servants and employees, from making, using, offering to sell, selling, marketing, distributing, or importing Teva's ANDA Product, or any product or compound that infringes the '825 patent, or inducing or contributing to the infringement of the '825 patent until after the expiration of the '825 patent;

(e) Damages or other monetary relief if Teva engages in the commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell, sale, marketing, distribution, or importation of Teva's ANDA Product, or any product or compound that infringes the '825 patent, or the inducement or contribution of the foregoing, prior to the expiration of the '825 patent.

(f) A declaration that this is an exceptional case and an award of attorneys' fees to plaintiffs pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;

(g) Plaintiffs' reasonable costs of suit incurred; and

(h) Such further and other relief as this Court deems proper and just.

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

/s/ Jack B. Blumenfeld

Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 658-9200
Jblumenfeld@mnat.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Millennium
Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Schering Corporation*

Of Counsel:

William F. Lee
David B. Bassett
Lisa J. Pirozzolo
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
HALE AND DORR LLP
60 State Street
Boston, MA 02109
(617) 526-6000

February 18, 2009