

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

AMGEN INC. and)
LES LABORATOIRES SERVIER,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
v.) C.A. No. _____
)
MSN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. and)
MSN LABORATORIES PRIVATE)
LIMITED,)
)
Defendants.)

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiffs Amgen Inc. (“Amgen”) and Les Laboratoires Servier (“Servier,” and collectively with Amgen, “Plaintiffs”) bring this action for patent infringement against MSN Pharmaceuticals Inc. (“MSN Pharmaceuticals”) and MSN Laboratories Private Limited (“MSN Laboratories,” and collectively with MSN Pharmaceuticals, “Defendants”).

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a civil action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1, et seq., and in particular under 35 U.S.C. § 271. This action relates to Abbreviated New Drug Application (“ANDA”) No. 213483, filed by and for the benefit of Defendants with the United States Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”). Through ANDA No. 213483, Defendants seek approval to market generic versions of Corlanor[®] (ivabradine) 5 mg and 7.5 mg tablets (the “Proposed ANDA Product”), prior to the expiration of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,361,649 (“the ’649 Patent”), 7,361,650 (“the ’650 Patent”), 7,867,996 (“the ’996 Patent”), and 7,879,842 (“the ’842 Patent”) (collectively, “the Patents-in-Suit”).

THE PARTIES

2. Plaintiff Amgen is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, having a principal place of business at One Amgen Center Drive, Thousand Oaks, California 91320-1799. Amgen discovers, develops, manufactures, and sells innovative therapeutic products based on advances in molecular biology, recombinant DNA technology, and chemistry.

3. Plaintiff Servier is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of France, having a principal place of business at 50 Rue Carnot, 92284 Suresnes Cedex, France. Servier is part of the Servier Group. Servier Group discovers, develops, manufactures, and sells innovative therapeutic products and is governed by a non-profit foundation.

4. On information and belief, Defendant MSN Pharmaceuticals is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, having a principal place of business at 20 Duke Road, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854.

5. On information and belief, Defendant MSN Laboratories is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Republic of India, having a principal place of business at MSN House, C-24, Industrial Estate, Sanath Nagar, Hyderabad, Telangana State, 500018, India.

6. On information and belief, MSN Pharmaceuticals is a wholly owned-subsiary of MSN Laboratories.

7. On information and belief, Defendants collaborate with respect to the development, regulatory approval, marketing, sale, and/or distribution of pharmaceutical products. On further information and belief, Defendants are agents of each other and/or operate in concert as integrated parts of the same business group.

8. On information and belief, MSN Pharmaceuticals acts as the U.S. agent for MSN Laboratories for the purposes of regulatory submissions to the FDA in seeking approval for regulatory drugs.

9. On information and belief, Defendants acted in concert to develop the Proposed ANDA Product that is the subject of ANDA No. 213483 and to seek regulatory approval from the FDA to market and sell the Proposed ANDA Product throughout the United States, including within this District.

10. Defendants' ANDA No. 213483 seeks approval to commercially manufacture, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import a generic version of Amgen's Corlanor[®] (ivabradine) tablets prior to the expiration of the Patents-in-Suit.

11. On information and belief, Defendants intend to act collaboratively to obtain approval for Defendants' ANDA No. 213483, and, in the event the FDA approves that ANDA, to commercially manufacture, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Proposed ANDA Product.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

12. This is an action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code, arising out of the submission of Defendants' ANDA No. 213483 to the FDA.

13. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a), and 35 U.S.C. § 1 et seq.

14. This Court has personal jurisdiction over MSN Pharmaceuticals because, on information and belief, MSN Pharmaceuticals is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware.

15. This Court has personal jurisdiction over MSN Laboratories because, *inter alia*, it has maintained continuous and systematic contacts with this District and availed itself of the privilege of doing business in this District. On information and belief, MSN Laboratories has acted in concert with MSN Pharmaceuticals: (1) to file ANDA No. 213483 seeking approval to commercially manufacture, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Proposed ANDA Product in the United States, including in this District; (2) regularly and continuously transacted business within this District, including by selling pharmaceutical products in this District either on its own or through its affiliates; and (3) derived substantial revenue from the sale of those products in this District. Alternatively, this Court has personal jurisdiction over MSN Laboratories pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(k)(2)(A).

16. On information and belief, if ANDA No. 213483 is approved, the Proposed ANDA Product charged with infringing the Patents-in-Suit will be marketed, distributed, offered for sale, and/or sold in this District, prescribed by physicians practicing in this District, dispensed by pharmacies located within this District, and/or used by patients in this District, all of which would have a substantial effect on this District.

17. This Court also has personal jurisdiction over MSN Laboratories because it has affirmatively availed itself of the jurisdiction of this Court through the assertion of counterclaims in suits brought in this District and/or by being sued in this District without challenging personal jurisdiction. *See, e.g., Vanda Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. MSN Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al.*, Civil Action No. 19-926 (D. Del.); *Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al., v. MSN Laboratories Private Ltd. et al.*, Civil Action No. 18-1785 (D. Del.); *Millennium Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. MSN Laboratories Private Ltd. et al.*, Civil Action No. 16-1255 (D. Del.).

18. For the reasons set forth above, and for additional reasons which will be supplied if Defendants challenge personal jurisdiction in this action, Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this District.

19. Venue is proper in this District for MSN Pharmaceuticals pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because MSN Pharmaceuticals is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware.

20. Venue is proper in this District for MSN Laboratories pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c) because, *inter alia*, MSN Laboratories is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Republic of India and is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District.

THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT

21. The Patents-in-Suit are assigned to Servier and exclusively licensed to Amgen.

22. The '649 Patent, entitled "β-Crystalline Form of Ivabradine Hydrochloride, a Process for Its Preparation and Pharmaceutical Compositions Containing It," was duly and legally issued on April 22, 2008. A copy of the '649 Patent is attached as Exhibit A.

23. The '650 Patent, entitled "γ-Crystalline Form of Ivabradine Hydrochloride, a Process for Its Preparation and Pharmaceutical Compositions Containing It," was duly and legally issued on April 22, 2008. A copy of the '650 Patent is attached as Exhibit B.

24. The '996 Patent, entitled "γ-Crystalline Form of Ivabradine Hydrochloride, a Process for Its Preparation and Pharmaceutical Compositions Containing It," was duly and legally issued on January 11, 2011. A copy of the '996 Patent is attached as Exhibit C.

25. The '842 Patent, entitled "Beta-Crystalline Form of Ivabradine Hydrochloride, a Process for Its Preparation and Pharmaceutical Compositions Containing It," was duly and legally issued on February 1, 2011. A copy of the '842 Patent is attached as Exhibit D.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Corlanor[®] (ivabradine)

26. Corlanor[®] (ivabradine) is a drug used to treat certain cases of chronic heart failure. In chronic heart failure, a person's heart does not adequately supply the body with blood, causing fatigue and weakness. Corlanor[®] can reduce a patient's risk of being hospitalized due to heart failure.

27. Amgen is the holder of approved New Drug Application ("NDA") No. 20-6143 for Corlanor[®] (ivabradine) tablets. Pursuant to NDA No. 20-6143, Amgen markets and distributes Corlanor[®] (ivabradine) tablets in the United States. Corlanor[®] is available in 5 mg and 7.5 mg tablets.

28. Corlanor[®] (ivabradine) tablets, the active pharmaceutical ingredient ivabradine, the method of manufacture, and/or their use are covered by one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit. The Patents-in-Suit have been listed for NDA No. 20-6143 in the FDA publication *Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations*, which is also known as the "Orange Book."

Defendants' ANDA No. 213483

29. In a letter dated December 13, 2019 (the "Notice Letter"), Defendants stated that they had submitted ANDA No. 213483 to the FDA seeking approval to commercially manufacture, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Proposed ANDA Product prior to the expiration of the Patents-in-Suit. The Notice Letter further stated that ANDA No. 213483 contained a certification pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV) (a "Paragraph IV Certification") that the Patents-in-Suit are invalid, unenforceable, and/or will not be infringed by the commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale, and/or importation of the Proposed ANDA Product.

30. Defendants were aware of the Patents-in-Suit when they submitted ANDA No. 213483 with a Paragraph IV Certification.

31. On information and belief, ivabradine hydrochloride is the active ingredient in the Proposed ANDA Product.

32. On information and belief, ANDA No. 213483 refers to and relies upon the NDA for Corlanor[®] (ivabradine) and contains data that, according to Defendants, demonstrate the bioequivalence of the Proposed ANDA Product and Corlanor[®] (ivabradine). *See* 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2); 21 C.F.R. § 314.94(a)(7).

33. On information and belief, the active ingredient in the Proposed ANDA Product— ivabradine hydrochloride—exhibits a patented crystalline form.

34. On information and belief, Defendants intend to have healthcare providers use their Proposed ANDA Product, if approved, as set forth in their Proposed ANDA Product label. On information and belief, Defendants' Proposed ANDA Product label will instruct healthcare providers to prescribe their Proposed ANDA Product in the manner set forth in the label.

35. On information and belief, the FDA has not yet approved ANDA No. 213483.

36. Plaintiffs commenced this action within 45 days of receipt of the Notice Letter.

37. Defendants' Notice Letter included an Offer of Confidential Access.

38. Beginning on December 26, 2019, Amgen and the Defendants tried but could not reach an agreement on a modified Offer of Confidential Access to enable Plaintiffs to meaningfully evaluate the bases for Defendants' assertion of non-infringement of the Patents-in-Suit.

COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,361,649

39. Plaintiffs hereby reallege and incorporate the allegations of paragraphs 1 – 38 of this Complaint.

40. On information and belief, the Proposed ANDA Product infringes one or more claims of the '649 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by the use and/or presence in the Proposed ANDA Product of an ivabradine crystalline form as covered by one or more of the claims of the '649 Patent.

41. Defendants' submission of ANDA No. 213483 to the FDA under 21 U.S.C. § 355(j) for the purpose of obtaining approval to commercially manufacture, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Proposed ANDA Product before the expiration of the '649 Patent constitutes infringement of the '649 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2).

42. On information and belief, Defendants plan to, intend to, and will commercially manufacture, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Proposed ANDA Product immediately upon approval of ANDA No. 213483 and will instruct healthcare providers to use the Proposed ANDA Product in accordance with the proposed product labeling.

43. On information and belief, upon FDA approval of ANDA No. 213483, Defendants will infringe the '649 Patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing the Proposed ANDA Product in the United States, and by actively inducing and/or contributing to infringement by others under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and/or (c).

44. On information and belief, Defendants had knowledge of the '649 Patent when they submitted ANDA No. 213483 to the FDA, Defendants knew or should have known that they will induce or contribute to another's direct infringement of the '649 Patent, and Defendants

acted with the specific intent to induce or contribute to another's direct infringement of the '649 Patent.

45. To date, Plaintiffs have not received sufficient information, materials, and things from Defendants to enable Plaintiffs to meaningfully evaluate the bases for Defendants' assertion of non-infringement of the '649 Patent.

46. In the absence of the ability to meaningfully evaluate information related to Defendants' ANDA No. 213483, Plaintiffs resort to the judicial process and the aid of discovery to obtain under appropriate judicial safeguards such information as is required to confirm their belief and to present to the Court evidence that Defendants infringe one or more claims of the '649 Patent.

47. Plaintiffs will be substantially and irreparably harmed by the infringing activities described above unless those activities are enjoined by this Court. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.

COUNT II: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,361,650

48. Plaintiffs hereby reallege and incorporate the allegations of paragraphs 1 – 47 of this Complaint.

49. On information and belief, the Proposed ANDA Product infringes one or more claims of the '650 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by the use and/or presence in the Proposed ANDA Product of an ivabradine crystalline form as covered by one or more of the claims of the '650 Patent.

50. Defendants' submission of ANDA No. 213483 to the FDA under 21 U.S.C. § 355(j) for the purpose of obtaining approval to commercially manufacture, use, offer for sale,

sell, and/or import the Proposed ANDA Product before the expiration of the '650 Patent constitutes infringement of the '650 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2).

51. On information and belief, Defendants plan to, intend to, and will commercially manufacture, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Proposed ANDA Product immediately upon approval of ANDA No. 213483 and will instruct healthcare providers to use the Proposed ANDA Product in accordance with the proposed product labeling.

52. On information and belief, upon FDA approval of ANDA No. 213483, Defendants will infringe the '650 Patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing the Proposed ANDA Product in the United States, and by actively inducing and/or contributing to infringement by others under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and/or (c).

53. On information and belief, Defendants had knowledge of the '650 Patent when they submitted ANDA No. 213483 to the FDA, Defendants knew or should have known that they will induce or contribute to another's direct infringement of the '650 Patent, and Defendants acted with the specific intent to induce or contribute to another's direct infringement of the '650 Patent.

54. To date, Plaintiffs have not received sufficient information, materials, and things from Defendants to enable Plaintiffs to meaningfully evaluate the bases for Defendants' assertion of non-infringement of the '650 Patent.

55. In the absence of the ability to meaningfully evaluate information related to Defendants' ANDA No. 213483, Plaintiffs resort to the judicial process and the aid of discovery to obtain under appropriate judicial safeguards such information as is required to confirm their belief and to present to the Court evidence that Defendants infringe one or more claims of the '650 Patent.

56. Plaintiffs will be substantially and irreparably harmed by the infringing activities described above unless those activities are enjoined by this Court. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.

COUNT III: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,867,996

57. Plaintiffs hereby reallege and incorporate the allegations of paragraphs 1 – 56 of this Complaint.

58. On information and belief, the Proposed ANDA Product infringes one or more claims of the '996 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by the use and/or presence in the Proposed ANDA Product of an ivabradine crystalline form as covered by one or more of the claims of the '996 Patent.

59. Defendants' submission of ANDA No. 213483 to the FDA under 21 U.S.C. § 355(j) for the purpose of obtaining approval to commercially manufacture, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Proposed ANDA Product before the expiration of the '996 Patent constitutes infringement of the '996 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2).

60. On information and belief, Defendants plan to, intend to, and will commercially manufacture, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Proposed ANDA Product immediately upon approval of ANDA No. 213483 and will instruct healthcare providers to use the Proposed ANDA Product in accordance with the proposed product labeling.

61. On information and belief, upon FDA approval of ANDA No. 213483, Defendants will infringe the '996 Patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing the Proposed ANDA Product in the United States, and by actively inducing and/or contributing to infringement by others under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and/or (c).

62. On information and belief, Defendants had knowledge of the '996 Patent when they submitted ANDA No. 213483 to the FDA, Defendants knew or should have known that they will induce or contribute to another's direct infringement of the '996 Patent, and Defendants acted with the specific intent to induce or contribute to another's direct infringement of the '996 Patent.

63. To date, Plaintiffs have not received sufficient information, materials, and things from Defendants to enable Plaintiffs to meaningfully evaluate the bases for Defendants' assertion of non-infringement of the '996 Patent.

64. In the absence of the ability to meaningfully evaluate information related to Defendants' ANDA No. 213483, Plaintiffs resort to the judicial process and the aid of discovery to obtain under appropriate judicial safeguards such information as is required to confirm their belief and to present to the Court evidence that Defendants infringe one or more claims of the '996 Patent.

65. Plaintiffs will be substantially and irreparably harmed by the infringing activities described above unless those activities are enjoined by this Court. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.

COUNT IV: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,879,842

66. Plaintiffs hereby reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 – 65 of this Complaint.

67. On information and belief, the Proposed ANDA Product infringes one or more claims of the '842 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by the use and/or presence in the Proposed ANDA Product of an ivabradine crystalline form as covered by one or more of the claims of the '842 Patent.

68. Defendants' submission of ANDA No. 213483 to the FDA under 21 U.S.C. § 355(j) for the purpose of obtaining approval to commercially manufacture, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Proposed ANDA Product before the expiration of the '842 Patent constitutes infringement of the '842 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2).

69. On information and belief, Defendants plan to, intend to, and will commercially manufacture, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Proposed ANDA Product immediately upon approval of ANDA No. 213483 and will instruct healthcare providers to use the Proposed ANDA Product in accordance with the proposed product labeling.

70. On information and belief, upon FDA approval of ANDA No. 213483, Defendants will infringe the '842 Patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing the Proposed ANDA Product in the United States, and by actively inducing and/or contributing to infringement by others under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and/or (c).

71. On information and belief, Defendants had knowledge of the '842 Patent when they submitted ANDA No. 213483 to the FDA, Defendants knew or should have known that they will induce or contribute to another's direct infringement of the '842 Patent, and Defendants acted with the specific intent to induce or contribute to another's direct infringement of the '842 Patent.

72. To date, Plaintiffs have not received sufficient information, materials, and things from Defendants to enable Plaintiffs to meaningfully evaluate the bases for Defendants' assertion of non-infringement of the '842 Patent.

73. In the absence of the ability to meaningfully evaluate information related to Defendants' ANDA No. 213483, Plaintiffs resort to the judicial process and the aid of discovery to obtain under appropriate judicial safeguards such information as is required to confirm their

belief and to present to the Court evidence that Defendants infringe one or more claims of the '842 Patent.

74. Plaintiffs will be substantially and irreparably harmed by the infringing activities described above unless those activities are enjoined by this Court. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court grant the following relief:

a) Judgment that Defendants' submission of ANDA No. 213483 to the FDA was an act of infringement of one or more claims of the '649, '650, '996, and '842 Patents under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2);

b) Judgment that Defendants' making, using, offering to sell, selling, or importing into the United States of the Proposed ANDA Product prior to the expiration of the '649, '650, '996, and '842 Patents, will infringe, will actively induce infringement, and/or will contribute to the infringement of one or more claims of those Patents;

c) An Order pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(4)(A) providing that the effective date of any FDA approval of ANDA No. 213483 shall be a date that is not earlier than the expiration of the '649, '650, '996, and '842 Patents plus any other exclusivity to which Plaintiffs are or become entitled;

d) An Order permanently enjoining Defendants, Defendants' affiliates and subsidiaries, each of its officers, agents, servants and employees, and any person acting in concert with Defendants, from making, using, offering to sell, selling, or importing into the United States the Proposed ANDA Product until after the expiration of the '649, '650, '996, and '842 Patents plus any other exclusivity to which Plaintiffs are or become entitled;

- e) A declaration that this case is exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and an award of reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses, and disbursements of this action;
- f) An award of Plaintiffs' reasonable costs and expenses in this action; and
- g) Such further and other relief as this Court deems proper and just.

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

/s/ Jack B. Blumenfeld

Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
Brian P. Egan (#6227)
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 658-9200
jblumenfeld@mnat.com
began@mnat.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Amgen Inc. and
Les Laboratoires Servier*

OF COUNSEL:

Lisa B. Pensabene
Hassen Sayeed
Daniel O'Boyle
Carolyn Wall
James Li
Amanda Hoffman
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
7 Times Square
New York, NY 10036
(212) 326-2000

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Amgen Inc. and Les Laboratoires Servier*

Wendy A. Whiteford
Steven T. Tang
James A. High Jr.
AMGEN INC.
One Amgen Center Drive
Thousand Oaks, CA 91320-1789
(805) 447-1000

Attorneys for Plaintiff Amgen Inc.

January 29, 2020