

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

ASTRAZENECA AB,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	Civil Action No. _____
PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	
)	

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff AstraZeneca AB (“AstraZeneca”), by its attorneys, hereby alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code, against defendant Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. (“Par”). This action relates to Abbreviated New Drug Application (“ANDA”) No. 205959 filed by Par with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) for approval to market 2.5 mg and 5 mg saxagliptin hydrochloride tablets, generic versions of AstraZeneca’s ONGLYZA[®] drug product, prior to expiration of U.S. Patent No. 7,951,400 (“the ’400 patent”).

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff AstraZeneca is a company operating and existing under the laws of Sweden, with its principal place of business at S-151 85 Södertälje, Sweden.

3. Plaintiff's subsidiary, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, is a limited partnership operating and existing under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 1800 Concord Pike, Wilmington, Delaware 19803.

4. AstraZeneca is engaged in the business of creating, developing, and bringing to market revolutionary biopharmaceutical products to help patients prevail against serious diseases, including treatments for Type II diabetes. Through its subsidiary, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, AstraZeneca markets and sells ONGLYZA[®] in this judicial district and throughout the United States.

5. Upon information and belief, Par is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, having a principal place of business at 1 Ram Ridge Rd., Spring Valley, NY 10977.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 100, *et seq.*, and this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), 2201, and 2202.

7. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

8. This Court has jurisdiction over Par because it is incorporated in Delaware.

9. This Court also has jurisdiction over Par because, *inter alia*, this action arises from activities of Par directed toward Delaware and Par has purposefully availed itself of the rights and benefits of Delaware law by engaging in systematic and continuous contacts with Delaware. Upon information and belief, Par regularly and continuously transacts business within the State of Delaware, including by selling pharmaceutical products in Delaware, either on its

own or through affiliates. Upon information and belief, Par derives substantial revenue from the sale of those products in Delaware and has availed themselves of the privilege of conducting business within the State of Delaware.

10. Par has previously been sued in this judicial district without objecting on the basis of lack of personal jurisdiction and has availed itself of Delaware courts through the assertion of counterclaims and by filing suit in the District of Delaware.

11. For these reasons, and for other reasons that will be presented to the Court if jurisdiction is challenged, the Court has personal jurisdiction over Par.

PATENT-IN-SUIT

12. On May 31, 2011, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued the '400 patent, entitled "Coated Tablet Formulation and Method." A true and correct copy of the '400 patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. The claims of the '400 patent are valid and enforceable. AstraZeneca is the owner of the '400 patent by assignment and has the right to enforce it.

13. AstraZeneca is the holder of New Drug Application ("NDA") No. 022350, by which the FDA granted approval for the marketing and sale of 2.5 mg and 5 mg strength saxagliptin hydrochloride tablets as an adjunct to diet and exercise to improve glycemic control in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus in multiple clinical settings. AstraZeneca markets saxagliptin hydrochloride tablets in the United States, through its Delaware subsidiary AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, under the trade name "ONGLYZA[®]." The FDA's official publication of approved drugs (the "Orange Book") includes ONGLYZA[®] together with the '400 patent and U.S. Patent No. RE44,186, which covers the composition of saxagliptin.

INFRINGEMENT BY PAR

14. By letter dated May 27, 2014 (“the Notice Letter”), Par notified AstraZeneca that Par had submitted ANDA No. 205959 to the FDA under Section 505(j) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. § 355(j)). AstraZeneca received the Notice Letter on or about May 28, 2014.

15. The Notice Letter states that Par seeks approval from the FDA to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, and sale of generic saxagliptin hydrochloride tablets before the expiration of the ’400 patent. Upon information and belief, Par intends to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, and sale of its generic saxagliptin hydrochloride tablets after receiving FDA approval to do so.

16. By filing ANDA No. 205959, Par has necessarily represented to the FDA that its generic saxagliptin hydrochloride tablets have the same active ingredient as ONGLYZA[®], have the same method of administration, dosage form, and strengths as ONGLYZA[®], and are bioequivalent to ONGLYZA[®].

17. In the Notice Letter, Par states that its ANDA contains a “Paragraph IV certification” asserting that the ’400 patent is invalid, unenforceable and/or will not be infringed by the commercial manufacture, use, and sale of Par’s generic saxagliptin hydrochloride tablets.

18. This Complaint is being filed before the expiration of the forty-five days from the date AstraZeneca received the Notice Letter.

COUNT I (INFRINGEMENT OF THE ’400 PATENT)

19. Each of the preceding paragraphs 1 to 18 is incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

20. Par's submission of ANDA No. 205959 to obtain approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sale of generic saxagliptin hydrochloride tablets prior to the expiration of the '400 patent constituted a technical act of infringement. Upon information and belief, the product described in ANDA No. 205959 would infringe one or more of the claims of the '400 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A).

21. Upon information and belief, upon FDA approval of Par's ANDA No. 205959, Par will further infringe at least one claim of the '400 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and selling its generic saxagliptin hydrochloride tablets in the United States and/or importing such tablets into the United States, and by actively inducing and contributing to infringement by others, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a)-(c) unless enjoined by the Court.

22. If Par's marketing and sale of generic saxagliptin hydrochloride tablets prior to expiration of the '400 patent and all other relevant exclusivities is not enjoined, AstraZeneca will suffer substantial and irreparable harm for which there is no remedy at law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, AstraZeneca prays that this Court grant the following relief:

1. A judgment that the claims of the '400 patent are not invalid, not unenforceable, and are infringed by Par's submission of ANDA No. 205959, and that Par's making, using, offering to sell, or selling in the United States, or importing into the United States Par's generic saxagliptin hydrochloride tablets will infringe the '400 patent.

2. An order pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(4)(A) providing that the effective date of any approval of ANDA No. 205959 shall be a date which is not earlier than the latest

expiration date of the '400 patent, including any extensions and/or additional periods of exclusivity to which AstraZeneca is or becomes entitled.

3. An order permanently enjoining Par, its affiliates, subsidiaries, and each of its officers, agents, servants and employees and those acting in privity or concert with them, from making, using, offering to sell, or selling in the United States, or importing into the United States Par's generic saxagliptin hydrochloride tablets until after the latest expiration date of the '400 patent, including any extensions and/or additional periods of exclusivity to which AstraZeneca is or becomes entitled.

4. Damages or other monetary relief to AstraZeneca if Par engages in commercial manufacture, use, offers to sell, sale, or importation in or into the United States of Par's generic saxagliptin hydrochloride tablets prior to the latest expiration date of the '400 patent, including any extensions and/or additional periods of exclusivity to which AstraZeneca is or becomes entitled.

5. Such further and other relief as this Court deems proper and just, including any appropriate relief under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

DATED: June 30, 2014

MCCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP

/s/ Michael P. Kelly

Michael P. Kelly (#2295)

Daniel M. Silver (#4758)

Renaissance Center

405 N. King Street, 8th Floor

Wilmington, DE 19801

(302) 984-6300

mkelly@mccarter.com

dsilver@mccarter.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

AstraZeneca AB